

10 MAY 2007



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

LADAS & PARRY  
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100  
LOS ANGELES CA 90036-5679

In re Application of	:	
BATEMAN et al.	:	
Application No.: 10/533,579	:	DECISION ON PETITION
PCT No.: PCT/AU2003/001443	:	
Int. Filing Date: 31 October 2003	:	UNDER
Priority Date: 31 October 2002	:	
Attorney Docket No.: B-5691PCT 622624-8	:	37 CFR 1.497(d)
For: FIRE RESISTANT MATERIAL	:	

This decision is in response to applicants' "RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.497(d)" filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) on 17 April 2007.

**BACKGROUND**

On 31 October 2003, applicants filed international application PCT/AU2003/001443, which designated the United States and claimed a priority date of 31 October 2002. A copy of the international application was communicated to the USPTO from the International Bureau on 13 May 2004. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 02 May 2005 (30 April 2005 being a Saturday).

On 02 May 2005, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee and an unsigned declaration of inventors.

On 07 December 2005, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a NOTIFICATION OF MISSING REQUIREMENTS UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating, *inter alia*, that an oath or declaration of the inventors in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(h) for filing any of the search fee, the examination fee, or the oath or declaration after the date of the commencement of the national stage were required.

On 07 March 2006, applicants filed a "REQUEST TO DELETE INVENTORS", which has properly been treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.497(d), and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(h). The petition was accompanied by a declaration of Matthew Allen Anglin, a declaration of James Macon Peterson, and a declaration of inventors identifying Stuart Arthur Bateman, Matthew Jon Partlett, and Dong Wang Yu as the inventors and signed by them.

On 31 March 2006, applicants filed a supplemental submission which included a declaration of Barbara Lord MacLean.

On 27 July 2006, the DO/EO/US mailed a NOTIFICATION OF DEFECTIVE RESPONSE (Form PCT/DO/EO/916) indicating that the declaration of inventors filed 07 March 2006 did not identify all of the inventors.

On 01 November 2006, a decision was mailed properly treating applicants' submissions filed 07 March 2006 and 31 March 2006 as a petition under 37 CFR 1.497(d). The decision dismissed applicants' petition without prejudice and vacated the NOTIFICATION OF DEFECTIVE RESPONSE mailed 27 July 2006.

On 06 February 2007, applicants submitted a "RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.497(d)" which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, a declaration of Matthew Allen Anglin, a declaration of James Macon Peterson, declaration of Barbara Lord MacLean, a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) from Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Org., and a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) from The Boeing Company.

On 05 March 2007, a decision was mailed dismissing applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.497(d) without prejudice. Specifically, it was noted that the written consent of the assignees had not been provided.

### **DISCUSSION**

37 CFR 1.497(d), provides:

(d) If the oath or declaration filed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) and this section names an inventive entity different from the inventive entity set forth in the international application, or if a change to the inventive entity has been effected under PCT Rule 92<sup>bis</sup> subsequent to the execution of any oath or declaration which was filed in the application under PCT Rule 4.17(iv) or this section and the inventive entity thus changed is different from the inventive entity identified in any such oath or declaration, applicant must submit:

- (1) A statement from each person being added as an inventor and from each person being deleted as an inventor that any error in inventorship in the international application occurred without deceptive intention on his or her part;
- (2) The processing fee set forth in Sec. 1.17(i); and
- (3) If an assignment has been executed by any of the original named inventors, the written consent of the assignee (see Sec. 3.73(b) of this chapter); and
- (4) Any new oath or declaration required by paragraph (f) of this section.

As noted in the decision mailed 01 November 2006, item (2) has been satisfied and item (4) is not required.

As noted in the decision mailed 05 March 2007, item (1) has been satisfied.

Item (3) has now been satisfied as well.

**CONCLUSION**

The request under 37 CFR 1.497(d) is **GRANTED** for the reasons set forth above.

This application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Branch of the Office of PCT Operations to continue national stage processing of the application in accordance with this decision including processing the application in the name of Stuart Arthur Batemen, Matthew Jon Partlett, and Dong Wang Wu as inventors.



Daniel Stemmer

Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Affairs

Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty

Legal Administration

Telephone: (571) 272-3301

Facsimile: (571) 273-0459